

Brownian motion and Navier-Stokes equations

Shizan Fang
Université de Bourgogne

16th Workshop on Markov Processes and Related Topics,
July 15, 2021

Navier-Stokes equations

The Navier-Stokes equation on \mathbb{R}^n or on a torus \mathbb{T}^n ,

$$\partial_t u_t + (u_t \cdot \nabla) u_t - \nu \Delta u_t + \nabla p_t = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot u_t = 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0,$$

describes the evolution of the velocity u_t of an incompressible viscous fluid with kinematic viscosity $\nu > 0$, as well as the pressure p_t . Condition $\nabla \cdot u_t = 0$ means that u_t is of divergence free.

A very good reference is :

- **R. Temam**, *Navier-Stokes equations and nonlinear functional analysis*, Second edition. CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, 66, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1995.

In the case of \mathbb{R}^3 , to a **velocity** u_t , we associate the **vorticity** ξ_t , which is a vector field on \mathbb{R}^3 defined by

$$\xi_t = \nabla \times u_t.$$

A velocity $\begin{pmatrix} u_t^1 \\ u_t^2 \end{pmatrix}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 can be seen as a velocity

$$u_t = \begin{pmatrix} u_t^1(x, y) \\ u_t^2(x, y) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ so that } \xi_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \partial_x u_t^2 - \partial_y u_t^1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is obvious that $u_t \cdot \xi_t = 0$. However in general in \mathbb{R}^3 ,

$$u_t \cdot \xi_t \neq 0.$$

The term $u_t \cdot \xi_t$ is called **helicity density**, a term to be handled.

When u_t is a solution to the NS equation:

$$\partial_t u_t + (u_t \cdot \nabla) u_t - \nu \Delta u_t + \nabla p_t = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot u_t = 0,$$

then the **vorticity** ξ_t satisfies the following heat equation

$$\frac{\partial \xi_t}{\partial t} + \nabla_{u_t} \xi_t - \nu \Delta \xi_t = \nabla_{\xi_t}^s u_t$$

where $\nabla^s u_t$ is the symmetric part of ∇u_t , sometimes called the **strain tensor**, also to be handled. Again in \mathbb{R}^2 , the right hand of above equality is 0, since the components of u is dependent of x, y , while ξ_t acts on z .

Question: What relation exists between these two quantities: helicity $u_t \cdot \xi_t$ and strain tensor $\nabla_{\xi_t}^s u_t$?

Theorem

For $n = 3$, there exists a family of connection ∇^t on \mathbb{R}^3 such that

$$\frac{1}{2\nu^2}(u_t \cdot \xi_t)^2 - \frac{1}{\nu} \nabla_{\xi_t}^s u_t \cdot \xi_t = \widehat{Ric}^t(\xi_t) \cdot \xi_t.$$

Question: What is ∇^t and from where comes the term \widehat{Ric}^t ?

This talk is based on a joint work with Zhongmin QIAN.

Doing inner product in L^2 on the vorticity equation yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi_t|^2 dx + \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \xi_t|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_{\xi_t}^s u_t \cdot \xi_t dx,$$

since $\int \nabla_{u_t} \xi_t \cdot \xi_t dx = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{L}_{u_t} |\xi_t|^2 dx = 0$.

Rolling a flat Brownian motion on a manifold

More than 40 years ago, **Eells, Elwothy and Malliavin** constructed the Brownian motion on a Riemannian manifold M by rolling without friction a flat Brownian motion of \mathbb{R}^n . Let $O(M)$ be the principal bundle of orthonormal frames : an element $r \in O(M)$ is an isometry from \mathbb{R}^n onto $T_{\pi(r)}M$ where $\pi : O(M) \rightarrow M$ is the projection. The Levi-Civita connection on M gives rise to n horizontal vector fields $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ on $O(M)$, which are such that $d\pi(r) \cdot A_r = r\varepsilon_i$, where $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n . A vector field v on M can be lift to a horizontal vector field V on $O(M)$ such that $d\pi(r)V_r = v_{\pi(r)}$. Consider SDE on $O(M)$

$$dr_t = \sum_{k=1}^n A_k(r_t) \circ dW_t^k + V_t(r_t)dt, \quad r|_{t=0} = r_0$$

where $W_t = (W_t^1, \dots, W_t^n)$ is a standard Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}^n .

Denote by $r_t(w, r_0)$ the solution to above SDE and $x_t = \pi(r_t)$. We assume that the life-time $\zeta = +\infty$ almost surely. Then for any $f \in C_c^2(M)$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} P_t(f \circ \pi) = \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_M + \mathcal{L}_v\right)f\right) \circ \pi,$$

where $P_t(f \circ \pi) = \mathbb{E}(f \circ \pi(r_t))$.

In Chapter V of the book

N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe, *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes*, North-Holland, Math. Library, 24, 1981,

Ikeda and Watanabe remarked that any diffusion having above generator can be constructed by rolling without friction the same flat Brownian motion, but **changing connections**, with respect to another metric compatible one Γ^v .

Let $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ be the horizontal vector fields on $O(M)$ with respect to Γ^ν , consider SDE on $O(M)$:

$$dr_w(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(r_w(t)) \circ dW_t^i, \quad r_w(0) = r_0.$$

Ikeda and Watanabe chose a suitable connection Γ^ν such that the generator of diffusion process $t \rightarrow x_t(w) = \pi(r_w(t))$ again is $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_M + \nu$. In fact, these vector fields $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ are such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{B_j}^2(f \circ \pi) = \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_M + \nu \right) f \right) \circ \pi.$$

This connection Γ^ν was defined **locally** in Ikeda-Watanabe's book.

We get the following global expression:

Theorem

Let ∇^ν be the covariant derivative with respect to the connection Γ^ν , and ∇^0 with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. Then for two vector fields X, Y on M ,

$$\nabla_X^\nu Y = \nabla_X^0 Y - \frac{2}{n-1} K_\nu(X, Y),$$

where

$$K_\nu(X, Y) = \langle Y, \nu \rangle X - \langle X, Y \rangle \nu.$$

We remark that ∇^ν provides a more information than ν : the dimension of the space.

The torsion tensor T^ν associated to ∇^ν :

$$T^\nu(X, Y) = \nabla_X^\nu Y - \nabla_Y^\nu X - [X, Y],$$

has explicit expression

$$T^\nu(X, Y) = \frac{-2}{n-1} (\langle Y, \nu \rangle X - \langle X, \nu \rangle Y).$$

Moreover, T^ν is skew-symmetric (TSS), that is

$$\langle T^\nu(X, Y), Z \rangle = -\langle T^\nu(Z, Y), X \rangle$$

holds for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ if and only if $\nu = 0$. In other words, T^ν is not of TSS for $\nu \neq 0$.

We asked ourselves what we could obtain with this connection Γ^ν ?

Theorem

Let Ric^0 be the Ricci curvature associated to ∇^0 , and Ric^ν to ∇^ν .
Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}^\nu(X) &= \text{Ric}^0(X) - \frac{4(n-2)}{(n-1)^2} K_\nu(X, \nu) \\ &\quad + \frac{2(n-2)}{n-1} \nabla_X^0 \nu + \frac{2}{n-1} \text{div}(\nu) X. \end{aligned}$$

We call **intrinsic Ricci tensor** associated to Γ^ν the following term

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}^\nu(X) = \text{Ric}^\nu(X) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i}^\nu T^\nu)(X, e_i).$$

This term was first introduced by Bruce Driver in

- **B. Driver**, A Cameron-Martin type quasi-invariance theorem for Brownian motion on a compact manifold, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 109 (1992), 272-376. It appeared in **Weitzenböck formula** with respect to connection satisfying TSS. For a connection, which is not of TSS, the Weitzenböck formula was studied by Elworthy, Li and LeJan in
- **K.D. Elworthy, Y. Le Jan, X.M. Li**, *On the geometry of diffusion operators and stochastic flows*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1720, Springer-Verlag, 1999.

Theorem

Assume $\dim(M) = 3$, then $\widehat{\text{Ric}}^v$ admits the following simple expression:

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}^v = \text{Ric}^0 + 2v \otimes v + 2\nabla^{0,s}v,$$

where $\nabla^{0,s}v$ denotes the symmetric part of ∇^0v .

Proof. First the sum $S := \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i}^v T^v)(X, e_i)$ is equal to

$$\frac{-2}{n-1} \left(\text{div}(v) X - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle X, \nabla_{e_i}^0 v \rangle e_i \right) + \frac{4}{(n-1)^2} \left((n-1)|v|^2 X - K_v(X, \cdot) \right).$$

$n = 3$ yields

$$S = -\text{div}(v) X + \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle X, \nabla_{e_i}^0 v \rangle e_i + 2|v|^2 X - K_v(X, v).$$

On the other hand, for $n = 3$,

$$\text{Ric}^\nu(X) = \text{Ric}^0(X) - K_\nu(X, \nu) + \nabla_X^0 \nu + \text{div}(\nu) X.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \langle X, \nabla_{e_i}^0 \nu \rangle e_i + \nabla_X^0 \nu = \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\langle X, \nabla_{e_i}^0 \nu \rangle + \langle \nabla_X^0 \nu, e_i \rangle \right) e_i,$$

which is nothing but $2\nabla_X^{0,s} \nu$. Summing them, we get

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}^\nu(X) = \text{Ric}^0(X) + 2|\nu|^2 X - 2K_\nu(X, \nu) + 2\nabla_X^{0,s} \nu.$$

Recall that $K_\nu(X, Y) = \langle Y, \nu \rangle X - \langle X, Y \rangle \nu$, then

$K_\nu(X, \nu) = |\nu|^2 X - \langle X, \nu \rangle \nu$. We get

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}^\nu(X) = \text{Ric}^0(X) + 2\langle X, \nu \rangle \nu + 2\nabla_X^{0,s} \nu.$$

Navier-Stokes equations on manifolds

Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold M of dimension n , with Ricci curvature bounded from below. We are interested in the following NS on M defined with the De Rham-Hodge Laplacian \square ,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_t + \nabla_{u_t} u_t + \nu \square u_t = -\nabla p_t, \\ \operatorname{div}(u_t) = 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{cases}$$

Let's first say a few words on the definition of \square on vector fields. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the space of vector fields $\mathcal{X}(M)$ and that of differential 1-forms $\Lambda^1(M)$.

On a local chart U , as usual, we denote by $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\}$ the basis of the tangent space $T_x M$ and by $\{dx^1, \dots, dx^n\}$ the dual basis of $T_x^* M$. Set $g_{ij} = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \rangle$ and $g^{ij} = \langle dx^i, dx^j \rangle$.

Let u be a vector field on M ; on U , $u = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. Then the associated differential form \tilde{u} has the expression

$$\tilde{u} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n g_{ij} u_j \right) dx^i.$$

For a differential 1-form $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j dx^j$, the associated vector field $\omega^\#$ has the expression

$$\omega^\# = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n g^{i\ell} \omega_\ell \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

We have: $(\omega, A) = \langle \omega^\#, A \rangle = \langle \omega, \tilde{A} \rangle$ and $\square A = (\square \tilde{A})^\#$, where $\square = dd^* + d^*d$.

Let u be a vector field on \mathbb{R}^3 : $u = u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + u_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + u_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. The associated differential form \tilde{u} has the expression:

$$\tilde{u} = u_1 dx + u_2 dy + u_3 dz.$$

The exterior derivative $d\tilde{u}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{u} &= \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} \right) dz \wedge dx + \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} \right) dx \wedge dy \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z} \right) dy \wedge dz. \end{aligned}$$

Hodge star $*$ operator gives an isomorphism between Λ^2 and Λ^1 :

$$*d\tilde{u} = \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z} \right) dx + \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} \right) dy + \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} \right) dz.$$

So that $*d\tilde{u}$ is the differential form associated to $\xi = \nabla \times u$.

In what follows, we assume that the dimension of M is 3.

Let u_t be a solution to the Navier-Stokes equation on M ,

$$\partial_t u_t + \nabla_{u_t} u_t + \nu \square u_t = -\nabla p_t, \quad \operatorname{div}(u_t) = 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$

Let \tilde{u}_t be the associated differential form, and we set

$$\omega_t = *d\tilde{u}_t,$$

which is differential 1- form on M . We get

$$\partial_t \omega_t + \nabla_{u_t} \omega_t + \nu \square \omega_t = \omega_t \triangleleft (\nabla^s u_t).$$

where $\omega_t \triangleleft (\nabla^s u_t)$ is a one form such that

$$\omega_t \triangleleft (\nabla^s u_t)(X) = \omega_t(\nabla_X^s u_t).$$

Using Weitzenböck formula $\square = -\Delta + \text{Ric}$, a formal computation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M |\omega_t|^2 dx + \nu \int_M |\nabla \omega_t|^2 dx \\ &= -\nu \int_M \langle \text{Ric}^0 \omega_t, \omega_t \rangle dx + \int_M \langle \omega_t \triangleleft \nabla^s u_t, \omega_t \rangle dx. \end{aligned}$$

A very good reference to this topic is

M. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations III: Nonlinear Equations*, Vol. 117, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer New York second edition (2011).

Diffusion processes for Vorticity

Recall that $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ on $O(M)$ are canonical horizontal vector fields on $O(M)$, with respect to Levi-Civita connection and $\pi : O(M) \rightarrow M$. Following P. Malliavin, for a differential 1-form, ω , we define

$$F_\omega^i(r) = (\omega_{\pi(r)}, r\varepsilon_i) = (\pi^*\omega, A_i)_r, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_{A_j} F_\omega^i)(r) = (\nabla_{r\varepsilon_j} \omega, r\varepsilon_i) = (\nabla \omega, r\varepsilon_j \otimes r\varepsilon_i).$$

and

$$\Delta_{O(M)} F_\omega^i := \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{A_j}^2 F_\omega^i = (\Delta \omega, r\varepsilon_i) = F_{\Delta \omega}^i(r).$$

Let U_t be the horizontal lift of u_t to $O(M)$, then

$$(\mathcal{L}_{U_t} F_\omega^i)(r) = \langle \nabla_{u_t} \omega, r\varepsilon_i \rangle = F_{\nabla_{u_t} \omega}^i(r).$$

Let $\phi_t = \omega_t \triangleleft \nabla^s u$; then

$$F_{\phi_t}^i(r) = \omega_t(\nabla_{r\varepsilon_i}^s u_t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \nabla_{r\varepsilon_i}^s u_t, r\varepsilon_j \rangle F_{\omega_t}^j.$$

Define $K_{ij}(t, r) = \langle \nabla_{r\varepsilon_i}^s u_t(\pi(r)), r\varepsilon_j \rangle$ and $K(t, r) = (K_{ij}(t, r))$, then

$$F_{\phi_t}(r) = K(t, r)F_{\omega_t}(r).$$

Therefore ω_t is solution to

$$\partial_t \omega_t + \nabla_{u_t} \omega_t + \nu \square \omega_t = \omega_t \triangleleft (\nabla^s u),$$

if and only if F_{ω_t} is solution to the following heat equation defined on $O(M)$, but taking values in flat space \mathbb{R}^n

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_{\omega_t} = \nu \Delta_{O(M)} F_{\omega_t} - \mathcal{L}_{U_t} F_{\omega_t} + (K(t, \cdot) - \nu \text{ric}) F_{\omega_t}.$$

Now consider the following SDE on $O(M)$,

$$dr_w(t) = \sqrt{2\nu} \sum_{i=1}^n A_i(r_w(t)) \circ dW_t^i - U_t(r_w(t)) dt,$$

and the resolvent equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} Q_t = Q_t J_t(r_w(t)), \quad J_t(r) = K(t, r) - \nu \operatorname{ric}_r$$

Then for any $T > 0$,

$t \rightarrow M_t := Q_t F_{\omega_{T-t}}(r_w(t))$ is a martingale over $[0, T]$.

Consider the following SDE on $O(M)$:

$$dr_w(t) = \sqrt{2\nu} \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(r_w(t)) \circ dW_t^i, \quad r_w(0) = r,$$

where $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ are associated to connection Γ^ν such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{B_j}^2(f \circ \pi) = \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_M + \nu \right) f \right) \circ \pi.$$

For the second SDE, the generator is

$$\nu \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{B_i}^2 (f \circ \pi) = \left((\nu \Delta_M + 2\nu v) f \right) \circ \pi.$$

For the first SDE, the generator is $\left((\nu \Delta_M - u_t) f \right) \circ \pi$.

Therefore we take

$$v = -\frac{u_t}{2\nu}.$$

Now we denote $\widehat{\text{Ric}}^t$ instead of $\widehat{\text{Ric}}^{-u_t/2\nu}$. Recall that

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}^v = \text{Ric}^0 + 2v \otimes v + 2\nabla^{0,s} v,$$

We have

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}^t = \text{Ric}^0 + \frac{1}{2\nu^2} u_t \otimes u_t - \frac{1}{\nu} \nabla^{0,s} u_t.$$

In the case of \mathbb{R}^3 ,

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}^t(\xi_t) \cdot \xi_t = \frac{1}{2\nu^2} (u_t \cdot \xi_t)^2 - \frac{1}{\nu} \nabla_{\xi_t}^s u_t \cdot \xi_t.$$

Here are integral forms:

Theorem






Let $\dim(M) = 3$. The vorticity ω_t satisfies a priori identity:



$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M |\omega_t|^2 dx + \nu \int_M |\nabla \omega_t|^2 dx \\ &= -\nu \int_M \langle \text{Ric}^0 \omega_t, \omega_t \rangle dx + \int_M \langle \omega_t \triangleleft \nabla^s u_t, \omega_t \rangle dx. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Let $\dim(M) = 3$, the following identity holds ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M |\omega_t|^2 dx + \nu \int_M |\nabla^0 \omega_t|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\nu} \int_M (\omega_t, u_t)^2 dx - \nu \int_M (\widehat{\text{Ric}}^t \# \omega_t, \omega_t) dx. \end{aligned}$$

-  H. Airault, P. Malliavin, Integration by parts formulas and dilatation vector fields on elliptic probability space, *Prob. Theory Rel. Fields*, 106 (1996), 447-494.
-  B. Driver, A Cameron-Martin type quasi-invariance theorem for Brownian motion on a compact manifold, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 109 (1992), 272-376.
-  K.D. Elworthy, Y. Le Jan, X.M. Li, *On the geometry of diffusion operators and stochastic flows*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1720, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
-  N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe, *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes*, North-Holland, Math. Library, 24, 1981.
-  M. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations III: Nonlinear Equations, Nonlinear equations*, Vol. 117, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer New York second edition (2011).

-  R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes equations and nonlinear functional analysis*, Second edition. CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, 66, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1995.
-  S. Fang, Z. Qian, Vorticity, Helicity, Intrinsic geometry for Navier-Stokes equations, *Arxiv Octobre, 2019*.